arXiv:1212.0813v1 [math.DS] 4 Dec 2012

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF LINEAR ALMOST PERIODIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

BUI XUAN DIEU, LUU HOANG DUC, STEFAN SIEGMUND AND NGUYEN VAN MINH

Abstract. The present paper is concerned with strong stability of solutions of non-autonomous equations of the form $\dot{u}(t) = A(t)u(t)$, where $A(t)$ is an unbounded operator in a Banach space depending almost periodically on t. A general condition on strong stability is given in terms of Perron conditions on the solvability of the associated inhomogeneous equation.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the asymptotic behavior of solutions of evolution equations of the form

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = A(t)x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1}$$

in terms of the existence and uniqueness of bounded solutions to the inhomogeneous equations

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = A(t)x + f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $A(t)$ is a family of unbounded linear operators on a (complex) Banach space $\mathbb{X}$ that depends almost periodically on t, and f is an almost periodic function taking values in $\mathbb{X}$. Throughout the paper we assume that the homogeneous equation (1.1) generates an almost periodic evolutionary process $(U(t,s)_{t\geq s})$ (see Definition 2.5). If (1.1) is autonomous (that is, $A(t) = A$ for all t), and $\dim(\mathbb{X}) < \infty$ the classical Lyapunov Theorem states that (1.2) is strongly stable if all real parts of the eigenvalues of A are negative. In the infinite dimensional case this condition is no longer valid. In fact, one needs more conditions to guarantee the (strong) stability of solutions to (1.1). We refer the reader to [1, 4, 7, 16, 17, 23] and the references therein. If $A(t)$ depends on t, the spectra $\sigma(A(t))$, in general, do not play any role in determining the behavior of solutions to (1.1). If $A(t)$ depends periodically on t with period τ, the period map $P := U(\tau, 0)$ can be used to study the problem via discrete analogs, results that can be found in [2, 15, 33].

The problem becomes much more complicated when $A(t)$ depends on t almost periodically (but not periodically). The idea of linear skew products has been used extensively to study the stability and exponential dichotomy of non-autonomous equations. In this direction, the concept of evolution semigroups, as a variation of the aforementioned idea, proves to be a very effective analytic tool (see [6, 18]).

Date: December 5, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 34C27; Secondary: 34D05, 34D20, 47D06.

Key words and phrases. Strong stability, non-autonomous equation, almost periodicity, evolution semigroup, Perron type conditions.

However, since typically evolution semigroups are considered in the function spaces $L^p(\mathbb{X}), C_0(\mathbb{X})$ or $\mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{X})$, the spectrum of the evolution semigroup associated with (1.1) is too coarse to characterize finer properties of the system like strong stability. Indeed, the spectrum of the generator of the evolution semigroup associated with an evolution equation in one of these function spaces consists of a union of vertical strips in the complex plane, hence the imaginary axis is either contained completely in the spectrum or does not intersect it. On the other hand, the well known ABLV Theorem (see Theorem 2.4) for stability of C_0-semigroups allows the generator's spectrum to intersect the imaginary axis.

The main purpose of this paper is to provide a setting in which the idea of evolution semigroups combined with the spectral theory of functions can be further used to study the asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1.1). We consider the evolution semigroup associated with (1.1) in the smallest invariant subspace of the space of all almost periodic functions $\mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{X})$ which we call *minimal evolution semigroup* of (1.1). In general, this smallest invariant function space is determined by the Bohr spectrum of the coefficient operator $A(t)$. The main results (cf. Theorem 3.5) we obtain in the paper are extensions of results known in the autonomous and periodic cases. Our conditions for strong stability are stated in terms of Perron conditions which are very popular in recent studies on stability and dichotomy (see for example [12, 13, 18, 25, 26, 27]). We analyze some particular cases as examples of how the obtained results can be applied to equations with almost periodic coefficients.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Almost Periodic Functions.** In this paper we use the concept of almost periodicity in Bohr's sense. The reader is referred to [1, 14] for some standard definitions and properties of almost periodic functions taking values in a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$.

Definition 2.1. A bounded and continuous function $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{X}$ is said to be almost periodic in the sense of Bohr (or simply almost periodic) if for each given sequence $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$ there exists a subsequence $\{\tau_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that the limit

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} g(t+\tau_{n_k})$$

exists uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Given an almost periodic function g, for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the following is shown to exist (see [14])

$$M_{\lambda,g} := \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} e^{-i\lambda t} g(t)dt.$$

And, except for at most a countable set $\sigma_b(g)$ of values of λ, this limit $M_{\lambda,g}$ is always equal to zero.

Definition 2.2. Let $T \subset \mathbb{R}$. The semi-module generated by T, denoted by $sm(T)$, is the set of all real numbers μ of the form

$$\mu := n_1\lambda_1 + n_2\lambda_2 + \cdots + n_k\lambda_k$$

where k is a positive integer, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k \in T$, and $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$ are non-negative integers.

Note that by this definition $0 \in sm(T)$.

Let $\sigma_b(A)$ be the Bohr spectrum of the almost periodic $A : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{X}$. We will denote the semi-module generated by this spectrum by $\Lambda := sm(\sigma_b(A))$. We introduce the following notation

$$\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X}) := \{g \in \mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{X}) : \sigma_b(g) \subset \Lambda\}.$$

Note that $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ is a closed subspace of $\mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{X})$.

Let A be a closed operator in a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$, and let A generate a uniformly bounded C_0-semigroup of linear operators $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$, i.e., $\sup_{t\geq 0} \|A(t)\| < \infty$. The following lemma is proved in [5, p. 2073].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $x \in \mathbb{X}$ be fixed, then the map*

$$R : \{Re\lambda > 0\} \to \mathbb{X}, \lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, A)x$$

is holomorphic. Furthermore, denote by $\sigma_u(A, x)$ the local unitary spectrum *of x, i.e. the set of points $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}$ to which R cannot be extended holomorphically. Then $\sigma_u(A, x) \subset \sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R}$, and*

$$\sigma(A) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \underset{x\in\mathbb{X}}{\cup} \sigma_u(A, x).$$

We also restate a well known result from [5, Theorem 3.4].

Theorem 2.4 (ABLV Theorem)**.** *Suppose $(T(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a bounded C_0-semigroup in a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$ with generator A, $x \in \mathbb{X}$ is fixed. Denote by $\sigma_u(A, x)$ the set of $i\beta \in i\mathbb{R}$ such that the local resolvent, $R(\alpha + i\beta, A)x, \alpha > 0$, does not extend analytically in some neighbourhood of $i\beta$. If*

(i) *$\sigma_u(A, x)$ is countable,*
(ii) $\lim\limits_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \alpha R(\alpha + i\beta, A)x = 0$, *for all β with $i\beta \in \sigma_u(A, x)$,*

then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \|T(t)x\| = 0.$$

2.2. **Evolution semigroups associated with evolutionary processes.**

Definition 2.5. A two parameter family $(U(t, s))_{t\geq s}$ of bounded linear operators acting in a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$ is said to be an *evolutionary process* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) $U(t, t) = Id$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where Id is the identity operator of $\mathbb{X}$,
(ii) $U(t, r)U(r, s) = U(t, s)$ for all $s \leq r \leq t$,
(iii) There are non-negative numbers M, α such that $\|U(t, s)\| \leq Me^{\alpha(t-s)}$ for all $t \geq s$,
(iv) The map $(t, s) \mapsto U(t, s)x$ is continuous for each $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

An evolutionary process $(U(t, s))_{t\geq s}$ in a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$ is said to be *almost periodic* if

(v) for each $x \in \mathbb{X}, s \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto U(t + s, s)x \in \mathbb{X}$ is almost periodic.

Definition 2.6. Given a function space F as a subspace of $BC(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{X})$. Assume that $(U(t,s))_{t\geq s}$ is an almost periodic evolutionary process generated by (1.1) and, for each $h \geq 0$ and $g \in F$, the function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto U(t,t-h)g(t-h)$ belongs to F. Then, the *evolution semigroup* $(T^h)_{h\geq 0}$ associated with (1.1) in the function space F is defined as the family of bounded operators $T^h, h \geq 0$, defined by

$$[T^h g](t) = U(t,t-h)g(t-h), \quad g \in F, t \in \mathbb{R}, h \geq 0.$$

The assumption that $(U(t,s))_{t\geq s}$ is an almost periodic evolutionary process implies that the function $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto U(t,t-h)g(t-h)$ belongs to $AP(\mathbb{X})$ for every $g \in AP(\mathbb{X})$. Hence the evolution semigroup $(T^h)_{h\geq 0}$ associated with (1.1) is well defined on $F := AP(\mathbb{X})$. We will later choose F as an appropriate invariant subspace of $AP(\mathbb{X})$.

3. Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions

Consider evolution equations of the form

$$\dot{x} = [A_0 + A(t)]x, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{X}, \tag{3.1}$$

where A_0 generates a C_0-semigroup denoted by $e^{tA_0}, t \geq 0$, and $A : \mathbb{R} \to L(\mathbb{X})$ is almost periodic in the norm topology.

To equation (3.1) we associate the following integral equation

$$x(t) = e^{(t-s)A_0}x(s) + \int_s^t e^{(t-\xi)A_0}A(\xi)d\xi, \quad t \geq s; t, s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.2}$$

Every continuous function $x(\cdot)$ on an interval J, which is of the form $[a,b]$, $(a,b]$, (a,b) or $[a,b)$, is said to be a mild solution of (1.2) on J.

It is well known that (3.1) generates an evolutionary process in $\mathbb{X}$ which is determined by the integral equation (3.1). The following theorem shows that this process is almost periodic, and its associated evolution semigroup $(T^h)_{h\geq 0}$ in $AP(\mathbb{X})$ leaves the function space $AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ invariant, where Λ is defined as $sm(\sigma_b(A(\cdot)))$.

Theorem 3.1. *Under the above assumptions and notation, the evolution semigroup associated with equation* (1.2) *in the function space* $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ *is well defined as a* C_0*-semigroup.*

Proof. First, note that the evolution semigroup associated with the evolution equation $\dot{x} = Ax$ is well defined as a C_0-semigroup in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$. In fact, this follows from the fact that $e^{hA}g(\cdot)$ is in $\mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{X})$ whenever g is in $\mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{X})$. Moreover, $\sigma_b(e^{hA}g(\cdot)) \subset \sigma_b(g)$. Next, since $A(\cdot)$ is almost periodic in the norm topology, in $\mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{X})$ we can define the operator M_A of multiplication by $A(t)$, that is,

$$M_A : \mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{X}) \ni g \mapsto A(\cdot)g(\cdot) \in \mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{X}).$$

Note that M_A leaves $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ invariant. In fact, this can be checked by using the Approximation Theorem of almost periodic functions [11, Theorem 1.19, p. 27] as follows. Since $g \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$, there is a sequence of trigonometric polynomials g_n with exponents in Λ that approximates g. Similarly, we construct a sequence of trigonometric polynomials $A_n(\cdot)$ that approximates $A(\cdot)$ in norm topology with exponents also in Λ. Then, $A_n(\cdot)g_n(\cdot)$ approximates $A(\cdot)g(\cdot)$. As Λ is the semi-module generated by $\sigma_b(A(\cdot))$ we get that the exponents of $A_n(\cdot)g_n(\cdot)$ lie in Λ.

Let G_{A_0} be the generator of the evolution semigroup (T_0^h) associated with $\dot{x} = A_0x$ in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$. Then, since M_A is a bounded linear operator in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$, $G_{A_0} + M_A$ generates a C_0-semigroup in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$. We now show that this semigroup in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ is nothing but the evolution semigroup of (3.2) in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ associated with equation (3.1). In fact, let us denote by (S^h) the semigroup that is generated by $G_{A_0} + M_A$ in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$. Then, this semigroup (S^h) satisfies the equation

$$S^h v = T_0^h v + \int_0^h T_0^{h-\xi} M_A S^\xi v d\xi, \quad \text{for all } h \geq 0, v \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X}).$$

Therefore, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, by the definition of the evolution semigroup (T_0^h) associated with the equation $\dot{x} = A_0x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [S^h v](t) &= (T_0^h v)(t) + \int_0^h (T_0^{h-\xi}(M_A S^\xi v))(t) d\xi \\ &= T_0(h)v(t-h) + \int_0^h T_0(h-\xi)(M_A S^\xi v)(t-h+\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since h and t are arbitrary, we may set $h = t - s$, so the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} [S^{t-s} v](t) &= T_0(t-s)v(s) + \int_0^h T_0(t-s-\xi)(M_A S^\xi v)(s+\xi) d\xi \\ &= T_0(t-s)v(s) + \int_s^t T_0(t-\eta)(M_A S^{\eta-s} v)(\eta) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Define $w(t) := [S^{t-s}v](t)$, then w is the unique solution of the equation

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &= T_0(t-s)v(s) + \int_0^h T_0(t-s-\xi)A(s+\xi)S^\xi v(s+\xi) d\xi \\ &= T_0(t-s)x + \int_s^t T_0(t-\eta)A(\eta)w(\eta) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

However, this is the equation that defines $U(t,s)x$. Therefore, we have for all $t \geq s$, $v \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$

$$[S^{t-s}v](t) = U(t,s)v(s).$$

In particular, when $h := t - s$ we have that $S^h v = U(t, t-h)v(t-h)$ for all $h \geq 0, t \in \mathbb{R}, v \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$, i.e., $(S^h)_{h\geq 0}$ is the evolution semigroup $(T^h)_{h\geq 0}$ for each $h \geq 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Definition 3.2. The evolution semigroup $(T^h)_{h\geq 0}$ associated with (3.1) on the function space $AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ is called the *minimal evolution semigroup* associated with (3.1) and $G := G_{A_0} + M_A$ is called the *infinitesimal generator* of T^h.

Theorem 3.3. *Equation (3.1) is strongly stable if its minimal evolution semigroup* $(T^h)_{h\geq 0}$ *is strongly stable.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq x_0 \in \mathbb{X}$. Define $g(t) = x_0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{X}$. Obviously, $g \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ because $\sigma_b(g) \subset \{0\}$. Since $(T^h)_{h\geq 0}$ is strongly stable,

$$\lim_{h\to\infty} T^h z = 0$$

for each $z \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$. In particular,

$$\lim_{h\to\infty} T^h g = 0.$$

That means,

$$0 = \lim_{h\to\infty} \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|U(t,t-h)x_0\| \geq \lim_{h\to\infty} \|U(h,0)x_0\| \geq 0.$$

Therefore, every mild solution of (3.1) is convergent to the origin, proving strong stability of (3.1). ☐

Definition 3.4. Let us denote by $\Sigma[(3.1)]$ the following set

$$\sigma(G) \cap i\mathbb{R} = \{\lambda = i\beta | \beta \in \mathbb{R}, i\beta \in \sigma(G)\},$$

and call it *the spectrum of equation* (3.1).

It can be checked (see e.g. [21]) that the generator $G := G_{A_0} + M_A$ is well-defined. The domain $D(G)$ of G consists of all functions u in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ such that there exists a function $f \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ for which

$$u(t) = U(t,s)u(s) + \int_s^t U(t,\xi)f(\xi)d\xi, \text{ for all } t \geq s, t, s \in \mathbb{R}, f \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X}).$$

And, in this case, for such f and u, $Gu = -f$. In the same way, $u \in D(G_{A_0})$ and $G_{A_0}u = -f$ if and only if for all $t \geq s$, $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, $f \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$

$$u(t) = T_0(t-s)u(s) + \int_s^t T_0(t-\xi)f(\xi)d\xi.$$

The operator $G - \lambda$ generates a semigroup (R^h) in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ which is uniquely determined by the equation

$$R^h v = T^h v + \int_0^h T^{h-\xi}(-\lambda)R^\xi v d\xi, \quad h \geq 0, v \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X}).$$

Without difficulty we can check that $R^h = e^{-\lambda h}T^h$ which is exactly the evolution semigroup associated with the process $V(t,s) := e^{-\lambda(t-s)}U(t,s)$. Therefore, $u \in D(G-\lambda)$ and $(G-\lambda)u = -f$ if and only if

$$u(t) = e^{-\lambda(t-s)}U(t,s)u(s) + \int_s^t e^{-\lambda(t-\xi)}U(t,\xi)u(\xi)d\xi, \quad (t \geq s).$$

Therefore, λ belongs to $\rho(G)$ if and only if for each $f \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ there is a unique solution $u_{\lambda,f}$ to the equation

$$u_{\lambda,f}(t) = e^{-\lambda(t-s)}U(t,s)u_{\lambda,f}(s) + \int_s^t e^{-\lambda(t-\xi)}U(t,\xi)f(\xi)d\xi \tag{3.3}$$

for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $t \geq s$.

With this preparation we are ready to prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that*

$$\sup_{t\geq s} \|U(t,s)\| < \infty, \tag{3.4}$$

and for all $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}$ but at most a countable set Σ, equation (3.3) has a unique solution $u_{\lambda,f}$ for each given $f \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$. Moreover, assume that for each $\lambda \in \Sigma$ and each fixed $f \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$,

$$\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \alpha u_{\lambda+\alpha,f} = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Then equation (3.1) is strongly stable.

Proof. First, by (3.4) the semigroup (T^h) is uniformly bounded. By the Spectral Inclusion of C_0-semigroups (see Pazy [24]), $\sigma(G) \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : Re z \leq 0\}$. Since $Re(\alpha+\lambda) = \alpha > 0$, by Lemma 2.3, $\alpha+\lambda \in \rho(G)$, and thus, (3.5) makes sense. Since Σ is countable, also $\sigma_u(G,u)$ is countable. The theorem is obtained by applying directly the ABLV Theorem 2.4 to the evolution semigroup (T^h) in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$. In fact, as shown above, (3.5) is exactly the condition that

$$\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \alpha R(\alpha+\lambda, G)u = 0$$

for each $u \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$, $\lambda \in \Sigma$. This means that the evolution semigroup (T^h) is strongly stable in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$. By Theorem 3.3, this yields the strong stability of (3.1). □

Special cases of Theorem 3.5. Below we will discuss several special cases of the above theorem.

Example 3.6. If $A(t) = 0$ for all t, then $\Lambda = \{0\}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ is nothing but the space of all constant functions, hence it can be identified with $\mathbb{X}$. The evolution semigroup associated with (3.1) is actually the semigroup e^{tA_0} generated by the operator A_0.

Therefore, the following corollary is obvious, and is the ABLV Theorem.

Corollary 3.7. Let A_0 generate a uniformly bounded semigroup $T(t)$, and let $\sigma(A_0) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ be countable. Moreover, let for each $i\lambda \in \sigma(A_0) \cap i\mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{X}$

$$\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0} \alpha R(i\lambda+\alpha, A_0)x = 0.$$

Then, equation (3.1) is strongly stable.

Example 3.8. Consider the linear evolution equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = A(t)x,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $A(t)$ is a (not necessarily bounded) linear operator acting on $\mathbb{X}$ for every fixed t and $A(t+1) = A(t)$. Then $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ is nothing but the space of all 1-periodic functions, and the process $(U(t,s))_{t\geq s}$ is 1-periodic (see [21] for related concepts and results). By [21, Proposition 1] equation (3.3) has a unique solution in $AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ if and only if $1 \in \rho(e^{-\lambda}U(1,0))$, or equivalently, $e^\lambda \in \rho(U(1,0))$. Let us denote by P the monodromy operator $U(1,0)$. It is well known that the strong stability of the 1-periodic evolutionary process $(U(t,s))_{t\geq s}$ can be studied via the stability of its monodromy operator P (see, for example, [33]). A discrete version of the ABLV Theorem on stability of individual orbits of the monodromy operator P is given in [15, Corollary 3.3]. We now show that the conditions of [15, Corollary 3.3] actually yield the conditions of our Theorem 3.5. In fact, the countability of $\Sigma[(3.1)]$ follows from the countability of $\sigma(P) \cap \Gamma$, where Γ is the unit circle of the complex plane. Next, let $i\lambda_0 \in \Sigma(3.1)$. Then, $z_0 := e^{i\lambda_0} \in \sigma(P) \cap \Gamma$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{z\downarrow z_0} (z-z_0)R(z,P)x_0 = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

We will show that (3.6) yields (3.5). By the definition of limit (3.6) in [15], (3.6) can be re-written as

$$\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0}(e^{i\lambda_0+\alpha}-e^{i\lambda_0})R(e^{i\lambda_0+\alpha},P)x_0=0.$$

Or equivalently,

$$\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0}(e^{\alpha}-1)R(e^{i\lambda_0+\alpha},P)x_0=0,$$

and hence,

$$\lim_{\alpha\downarrow 0}\alpha R(e^{i\lambda_0+\alpha},P)x_0=0.$$

Let $f\in AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$, that is, f is an arbitrary continuous 1-periodic function taking values in $\mathbb{X}$. Then, let

$$x_0:=\int_0^1 e^{-(i\lambda_0+\alpha)(1-\xi)}U(1,\xi)f(\xi)d\xi.$$

And let $u_{i\lambda_0+\alpha,f}$ be the unique solution to equation (3.3). Then, it is easy to check that

$$u_{i\lambda_0+\alpha,f}(0)=R(e^{i\lambda_0+\alpha},P)x_0.$$

Therefore, by the definition of the evolutionary process,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_{i\lambda_0+\alpha,f}\|:=\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\|u_{i\lambda_0+\alpha,f}(t)\| &= \sup_{0\le t\le 1}\|u_{i\lambda_0+\alpha,f}(t)\|\\ &= Me^{\beta}\|u_{i\lambda_0+\alpha,f}(0)\|\\ &\le Me^{\beta}\|R(e^{i\lambda_0+\alpha},P)x_0\|,\end{aligned}$$

where the positive numbers M,β depend only on the process $(U(t,s))_{t\ge s}$ (see Definition 2.5). This shows that (3.6) yields (3.5), and thus, the following result is a corollary to Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.9. Let the monodromy operator P of the 1-periodic evolutionary process $(U(t,s))_{t\ge s}$ be a power bounded operator, i.e. $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\|P^n\|<\infty$, such that $\sigma(P)\cap\Gamma$ is a countable set. Moreover, assume that for each $\xi_0\in\sigma(P)\cap\Gamma$ the following holds for each $x_0\in\mathbb{X}$

$$\lim_{\lambda\downarrow\xi_0}(\lambda-\xi_0)R(\lambda,P)x_0=0.$$

Then, for every $x_0\in\mathbb{X}$ and for every $s\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}U(t,s)x_0=0.$$

In summary, Theorem 3.5 covers two well known special cases of non-autonomous equations, including the autonomous and periodic cases. For the general case of non-autonomous equations the generator G of the evolution semigroup may have a more complicated spectrum, and we will discuss this topic in the next section.

4. Spectrum of the generator G

In this section we will give a detailed analysis of the spectrum of the generator G of the evolution semigroup associated with a certain equation.

Proposition 4.1. Let (T^h) be the minimal evolution semigroup associated with (3.1), and G be its generator. Assume further that the semi-module generated by the set of frequencies of the function $A(\cdot)$ is actually a module. Then, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} i\lambda + \sigma(G) &\subset \sigma(G) \\ i\lambda + \rho(G) &\subset \rho(G). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The above inclusions are actually equivalent to the claim that $\mu \in \rho(G)$ if and only if $i\lambda + \mu \in \rho(G)$. By the argument that precedes Theorem 3.5, $\mu \in \rho(G)$ if and only if for each $f \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ the integral equation

$$x(t) = e^{-\mu(t-s)}U(t,s)x(s) + \int_s^t e^{-\mu(t-\xi)}U(t,\xi)f(\xi)d\xi \quad (t \geq s) \tag{4.1}$$

has a unique solution in $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$, denoted by $u_{\mu,f}$. Since Λ is assumed to be a module, $u_{\mu,f} \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ if and only if the function $v : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, t \mapsto e^{i\lambda t}u_{\mu,f}$, belongs to $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$. Moreover, $u_{\mu,f}$ is the unique solution of (4.1) if and only if v is the unique solution of the equation

$$y(t) = e^{-(i\lambda+\mu)(t-s)}U(t,s)y(s) + \int_s^t e^{-(i\lambda+\mu)(t-\xi)}U(t,\xi)f(\xi)d\xi \quad (t \geq s),$$

that is, $i\lambda + \mu \in \rho(G)$. □

Remark 4.2. As an example for the case when Λ is a module we can take the equation $\dot{x}(t) = (e^{it} + e^{-it})x(t)$. Then, the semi-module generated by the set of frequencies is the set of all reals of the form

$$n \cdot 1 + m \cdot (-1),$$

where m, n are non-negative integers, hence $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}$. If Λ is not a module, the situation may be more complicated.

In order to analyze the spectrum of the generator G of the minimal evolution semigroup associated with (3.1), in case the non-autonomous term $A(t)$ is small, it is useful to consider the generator $G_{0,\Lambda}$ of the evolution semigroup $(T^h_{0,\Lambda})$ associated with the equation $\dot{u} = A_0 u$ in the function space $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that A_0 is a sectorial operator, and the semi-module Λ is a closed subset of the real line. Under the above assumption and notation,*

$$\sigma(G_{0,\Lambda}) = \sigma(A_0) - i\Lambda.$$

Proof. By the main results of [19],

$$\mu \in \rho(G_{0,\Lambda}) \Leftrightarrow \sigma(A_0 - \mu) \cap i\Lambda = \emptyset.$$

This condition means there are no complex numbers $\eta \in \sigma(A_0)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\eta - \mu = i\lambda$, or, μ cannot be expressed as $\mu = \eta - i\lambda$ with $\eta \in \sigma(A_0)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In turn, this yields that $\mu \notin \sigma(A_0) - i\Lambda$, or, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}\backslash(\sigma(A_0) - i\Lambda)$. This proves the proposition. □

Recall that we are denoting by G the generator of the evolution semigroup associated with equation (3.1).

Proposition 4.4. Assume that A_0 is a sectorial operator, and the semi-module Λ is a closed subset of the real line. Then, for each compact subset

$$K \subset \rho(G_{0,\Lambda}) = \mathbb{C} \backslash (\sigma(A_0) - i\Lambda)$$

there exists a number $\delta_0 > 0$ such that if

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|A(t)\| < \delta_0,$$

then,

$$\sigma(G) \cap K = \emptyset. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Since K is a compact subset of $\rho(G_{0,\Lambda})$

$$\sup_{\lambda \in K} \|R(\lambda, G_{0,\Lambda})\| = \mu < \infty.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the operator of multiplication by $A(t)$ in $AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$. Note that this multiplication operator is well defined in $AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$, and moreover, this operator is bounded. Therefore, there exists a positive δ_0 such that the operator

$$(I - R(\lambda, G_{0,\Lambda})\mathcal{A})^{-1}$$

whenever $\|\mathcal{A}\| < \delta_0$ and $\lambda \in K$. Next, we can show that for each $\lambda \in K$

$$(I - R(\lambda, G_{0,\Lambda})\mathcal{A})^{-1} R(\lambda, G_{0,\Lambda}) = R(\lambda, G_{0,\Lambda} + \mathcal{A}) = R(\lambda, G).$$

In fact, set

$$U := (I - R(\lambda, G_{0,\Lambda})\mathcal{A})^{-1} R(\lambda, G_{0,\Lambda}).$$

With this notation we have

$$(I - R(\lambda, G_{0,\Lambda})\mathcal{A})U = R(\lambda, G_{0,\Lambda}).$$

Therefore,

$$U = R(\lambda, G_{0,\Lambda}) + R(\lambda, G_{0,\Lambda})\mathcal{A}U,$$

and hence,

$$(\lambda - G_{0,\Lambda})U = I + \mathcal{A}U.$$

This yields

$$(\lambda - G_{0,\Lambda})U - \mathcal{A}U = I,$$

that is,

$$(\lambda - G_{0,\Lambda} - \mathcal{A})U = (\lambda - G)U = I.$$

In other words, $U = R(\lambda, G)$ whenever $\lambda \in K$ and $\|\mathcal{A}\| < \delta_0$. This yields (4.2). The proposition is proved. □

4.1. **One dimensional case.** As a motivation, we consider the equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = a(t)x,$$

where $a(t)$ is an almost periodic function taking values in $\mathbb{X} := \mathbb{R}$. Define the operator $G = -d/dt + a(t)$. We will describe the part of spectrum $\sigma(G) \cap i\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that*

(i) *the semi-module generated by the Bohr spectrum of* $a(t)$, *i.e.* $\Lambda := sm(\sigma_b(a))$, *is a discrete countable set and*

(ii) $0 \notin \sigma_b(a)$, *i.e.* $M_{0,a} := \lim\limits_{T\to\infty} \int_{-T}^{T} a(t)dt = 0$.

Then Σ *is also a discrete countable set. Moreover*

$$\Sigma \subset -i\Lambda \cup i\Lambda.$$

In order to prove Theorem 4.5, we need the following three lemmas.

Lemma 4.6. *Let* f *be a trigonometric polynomial with exponents bounded away from zero, i.e.* $f(t) = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{N} a_n e^{i\lambda_n t}$ *with* $|\lambda_n| \geq M > 0$. *Let* $g(t) = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{N} \frac{a_n}{i\lambda_n} e^{i\lambda_n t}$. *Then there exists a constant* D *independent of* f, g, N *and* M *such that*

$$\|g\| \leq DM^{-1}\|f\|.$$

Proof. See [10, Lemma 4.11, p. 72]. In fact, $D = \|\hat{\varphi}\|_1$, where $\hat{\varphi}$ is the Fourier transform of

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} it & \text{if } |t| \leq 1 \\ (-it)^{-1} & \text{if } |t| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and the norm is taken in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$. Note that $D = \|\hat{\varphi}\|_1$ neither depends on f, g, nor on the exponents. □

Lemma 4.7. *Let* $f \in \mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{R})$ *such that* $|\lambda_n| \geq M > 0$ *for all* $\lambda_n \in \sigma_b(f)$. *Then* $g(\cdot) := \int_0^{\cdot} f(s)ds \in \mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $\sigma_b(g) \subset \sigma_b(f) \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. By applying the Approximation Theorem [11, Theorem 1.19, p .27], let p_n be a sequence of trigonometric polynomials which converge uniformly to f such that $\sigma_b(p_n) \subset \sigma_b(f)$. If $p_n = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{N} b_k^{(n)} e^{i\lambda_k t}$, define $q_n = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{N} \frac{b_k^{(n)}}{i\lambda_k} e^{i\lambda_k t}$. Then $(p_n - p_m)(t)$ are trigonometric polynomials with exponents bounded away from zero and $(q_n - q_m)'(t) = (p_n - p_m)(t)$. By applying Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\|q_n - q_m\| \leq DM^{-1}\|p_n - p_m\|.$$

Since $\|p_n - p_m\| \to 0$ as $m, n \to \infty$, it follows that the sequence $\{q_n\}$ converges uniformly to a limit q. Since $p_n \to f$, it follows that

$$b_k^{(n)} \to M(\lambda_k, f) := \lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} e^{-i\lambda_k t} f(t)dt \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

so that the Fourier series associated with q is

$$q \sim \sum \frac{M(\lambda_n, f)e^{i\lambda_n t}}{i\lambda_n}.$$

Since $q_n = \int_0^t p_n(s)ds$ for all n, and $q_n \to q, p_n \to f$ uniformly, it follows that $q = \int_0^t f(s)ds$. It means that $g \in \mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\sigma_b(g) \subset \sigma_b(f) \cup \{0\}$. □

Lemma 4.8. $e^{\int_0^\cdot a(s)ds} \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Since $sm(\sigma_b(a))$ is a discrete set, so is $\sigma_b(a)$. From the assumption $0 \notin \sigma_b(a)$, we get that $\sigma_b(a)$ is bounded away from zero. Applying Lemma 4.7,

$$g(\cdot) := \int_0^\cdot a(t)dt \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{R}).$$

We have

$$e^{g(t)} = 1 + g(t) + \frac{g^2(t)}{2} + \frac{g^3(t)}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{g^n(t)}{n!} + \cdots$$

Since $g \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{R})$ we have that g is bounded, so the above infinite sum is uniformly convergent. Also $g^n \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{R})$ due to the fact that $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{R})$ is closed under products (see [10, Theorem 1.9, p. 5]) and $\sigma_b(g^n) \in \Lambda$ as an application of the Approximation Theorem. Since the uniform limit of a sequence of almost periodic functions is also an almost periodic function, $e^{g(\cdot)} \in \mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{R})$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{R})$ is a closed subspace of $\mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore, $e^{g(\cdot)} \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{R})$. □

Proof of theorem 4.5. It is sufficient to prove that for every real number $\lambda \notin -\Lambda \cup \Lambda$, the equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (a(t) - i\lambda)x + f(t) \tag{4.3}$$

has a unique solution $x \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{R})$ for every $f \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $y(t) = e^{i\lambda t}x(t)$ or $x(t) = e^{-i\lambda t}y(t)$, then (4.3) becomes

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = a(t)y + e^{i\lambda t}f(t),$$

which has a general solution

$$y(t) = e^{\int_0^t a(s)ds}\left(\int_0^t e^{i\lambda\tau}f(\tau)e^{-\int_0^\tau a(s)ds}d\tau + C_0\right).$$

By applying Lemma 4.8, one has $f(\cdot)e^{-\int_0^\cdot a(s)ds} \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{R})$. Now suppose that

$$\lambda_n \in \sigma_b\left(e^{i\lambda\tau}f(\tau)e^{-\int_0^\tau a(s)ds}\right),$$

then $\lambda_n = \lambda + \mu_n$ for some $\mu_n \in \Lambda$. Since $\lambda \notin -\Lambda \cup \Lambda$, it follows that $\lambda_n \neq 0$ for all n. Because Λ is a discrete set, $e^{i\lambda\tau}f(\tau)e^{-\int_0^\tau a(s)ds}$ is an almost periodic function with Bohr spectrum bounded away from zero.

By applying Lemma 4.7, it follows that $G(\cdot) = \int_0^\cdot e^{i\lambda\tau}f(\tau)e^{-\int_0^\tau a(s)ds}d\tau \in \mathrm{AP}(\mathbb{R})$. In fact $G \in \mathrm{AP}_{\Lambda+\{\lambda\}}(\mathbb{R})$, where $\Lambda + \{\lambda\}$ is the set of all real numbers μ of the form

$$\mu := m + \lambda, \quad m \in \Lambda.$$

Note that $\Lambda + \{\lambda\} \neq \Lambda$, since $\lambda \notin \Lambda$. So $y \in \mathrm{AP}_{\Lambda+\{\lambda\}}(\mathbb{R})$ and therefore $x(\cdot) = e^{-i\lambda\cdot}y(\cdot) \in \mathrm{AP}_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ which completes the proof. □

Remark 4.9. The condition $0 \notin \sigma_b(a)$ is essential. Otherwise the solution

$$y(t) = e^{\int_0^t a(s)ds} \left(\int_0^t e^{i\lambda\tau} f(\tau) e^{-\int_0^\tau a(s)ds} d\tau + C_0 \right)$$

is even unbounded. For instance, choose $a(t) := 1 + e^{it}$, then $\int_0^t a(s)ds = t + \frac{e^{it}}{i}$ is unbounded.

Example 4.10. Consider the equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = (e^{it} + e^{\sqrt{2}t})x.$$

The frequencies of $a(t) := (e^{it} + e^{\sqrt{2}t})$ are $\{1, \sqrt{2}\}$. The semi-module generated by the set of frequencies of $a(t)$ is the set $\mathbb{N}_0 + \sqrt{2}\mathbb{N}_0$. With the operator $G = -d/dt + a(t)$ one gets

$$\sigma(G) \cap i\mathbb{R} \subset -i(\mathbb{N}_0 + \mathbb{N}_0\sqrt{2}) \cup i(\mathbb{N}_0 + \mathbb{N}_0\sqrt{2}),$$

where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$.

5. Discussion

Ordinary differential equations with almost periodic coefficients may be included in equation (3.1). Our study of (3.1) can be extended to a more general class of evolution equations with almost periodic coefficients to include some classes of partial differential equations. Below we will discuss the question of how to determine the minimal evolution semigroup associated with the evolution equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = A(t)x, \tag{5.1}$$

on a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$, where $A(t)$ is a family of unbounded linear operators that depends almost periodically on t in an appropriate sense that includes a conventional definition of this concept when $A(t)$ is a family of bounded operators.

Definition 5.1. Given any real number ω and a closed linear operator A in a Banach space $\mathbb{X}$. The operator A is said to be in class $\mathcal{A}(\omega)$ if

$$\|(x - \lambda Ax) - (y - \lambda Ay)\| \geq (1 - \lambda\omega)\|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in D(A)$ and $\lambda > 0$ with $\lambda\omega < 1$.

If A is in $\mathcal{A}(0)$, then, A is said to be *accretive*.

Below we summarize and adapt the conditions of a result in [3] in our context of linear almost periodic equations. We first introduce some notation. $J_\lambda(t) := (I - \lambda A(t))^{-1}$, $A_\lambda(t) := (I - J_\lambda(t))/\lambda$.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $A(t)$ appearing in equation (5.1) satisfy the following conditions:*

(i) $\overline{D(A(t))} = \mathbb{X}$ *for all* $t \in \mathbb{R}$,
(ii) $A(t) \in \mathcal{A}(\omega)$ *for all* $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *with a fixed* ω,
(iii) *There exist a bounded and continuous function* $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{X}$, *and a monotone increasing function* $L : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ *such that*

$$\|A_\lambda(t)x - A_\lambda(\tau)x\| \leq L(\|x\|)\|f(t) - f(\tau)\|$$

for all $t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, *where* λ_0 *is some positive number,*

(iv) *For each* $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $0 < \mu_0 < \mu$, *the function* $R(\mu, A(t))x$ *is an almost periodic function in* $t \in \mathbb{R}$ *with Bohr spectrum denoted by* $\sigma_b(\mu, x)$.

Then, the generalized solutions of equation (5.1) generate an almost periodic evolutionary process $(U(t,s))_{t\geq s}$ *in* $\mathbb{X}$. *More precisely, the process is determined by*

$$U(t,s)x := \lim_{n\to\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} J_{(t-s)/n}\left(s + i\frac{t-s}{n}\right)x. \tag{5.2}$$

Moreover, the evolution semigroup $(T^h)_{h\geq 0}$ *associated with the evolution process* $(U(t,s))_{t\geq s}$ *in* $AP(\mathbb{X})$ *leaves the subspace* $AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ *invariant, where* Λ *is the module generated by*

$$\bigcup_{\mu>\mu_0, x\in\mathbb{X}} \sigma_b(\mu, x).$$

Proof. For the proof of generation of an almost periodic evolutionary process of equation (5.1) the reader is referred to [3] and its references. To prove the invariance of $AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ under $(T^h)_{h\geq 0}$, note that

$$R(\mu, A(t)) = (\mu - A(t))^{-1} = \left[\mu\left(I - \frac{1}{\mu}A(t)\right)^{-1}\right] = \frac{1}{\mu}J_{\frac{1}{\mu}}(t),$$

thus condition (iv) guarantees that J_λ leaves $AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ invariant for each $\lambda > 0$. Fix $t-s$ and choose $\lambda = \frac{t-s}{n}$, then

$$J_{(t-s)/n}\left(s + i\frac{t-s}{n}\right) = J_\lambda(t - n\lambda + i\lambda),$$

thus each operator in the product of (5.2) leaves $AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ invariant. Hence for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the right hand side of the expression (5.2) as a function of t actually leaves $AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ invariant. Since $AP_\Lambda(\mathbb{X})$ is closed, the limit must be in the space, which completes our proof.

□

Example 5.3. Consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(t,\xi) &= a(t)u_{\xi\xi}(t,\xi), \quad t\in\mathbb{R}, 0<\xi<1, \\ u(t,0) &= u(t,1) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u(t,\cdot) \in \mathbb{X} := L^2[0,1]$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with L^2-norm, and $a : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function that is almost periodic. We define

$$\begin{aligned} D(A(t)) &:= \{g \in L^2[0,1] : g, g' \text{ are absolutely continuous,} \\ &\qquad g'' \in L^2[0,1], g(0) = g(1) = 0\} \\ A(t)g &= a(t)g'', \quad g \in D(A(t)). \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that $\overline{D(A(t))} = \mathbb{X}$ for all t, and it is easy to show that the operator $A(t)$ satisfies all conditions listed in Theorem 5.2.

Acknowledgments

The first author was supported by Vietnam MOET grant No. 322/5179/QD-BGDDT. The second author was supported by a DFG grant under Si801/6-1.

References

1. W. Arendt, C.J.K. Batty, M. Hieber, F. Neubrander, *Vector-valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems*, Monographs in Mathematics, **96**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.
2. W. Arendt, C.J.K. Batty, Asymptotically almost periodic solutions of inhomogeneous Cauchy problems on the half-line, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **31** (1999), 291–304.
3. B. Aulbach, Nguyen Van Minh, A sufficient condition for almost periodicity of solutions of nonautonomous nonlinear evolution equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **51** (2002), 145–153.
4. B. Basit, Harmonic analysis and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the abstract Cauchy problem. *Semigroup Forum*, **54** (1997), 58–74.
5. C. J. K. Batty, J. Van Neerven, F. Räbiger, Local spectra and individual stability of uniform bounded C_0-semigroups. *Trans. A.M.S.* **350** (1998), 2071–2085.
6. C. Chicone and Yu. Latushkin, Evolution Semigroups in Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations, Math. Surveys Monogr., **70**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
7. R. Chill, Y. Tomilov, Stability of operators semigroups: ideas and results. In "Perspectives in Operator Theory". Banach Center Publications, Vol. **75** (2007), 71–109.
8. J. Esterle, E. Strouse, F. Zouakia, Stabilité asymptotique de certains semigroupes d'opérateurs et idéaux primaires de $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$. *J. Operator Theory* **28** (1992), 203–227.
9. J. Esterle, E. Strouse, F. Zouakia, Theorems of Katznelson-Tzafriri type for contractions. *J. Funct. Anal.* **94** (1990), 273–287.
10. A. M. Fink, *Almost Periodic Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1974.
11. Y. Hino, T. Naito, Nguyen Van Minh, J. S. Shin, *Almost Periodic Solutions of Differential Equations in Banach Spaces.* Taylor & Francis, London - New York, 2002.
12. Nguyen Thieu Huy, Exponential dichotomy of evolution equations and admissibility of function spaces on a half-line. *J. Funct. Anal.* **235** (2006), 330–354.
13. Nguyen Thieu Huy, Exponentially dichotomous operators and exponential dichotomy of evolution equations on the half-line. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **48** (2004), 497–510.
14. B. M. Levitan, V. V. Zhikov, Almost Periodic Functions and Differential Equations, Moscow Univ. Publ. House 1978. English translation by Cambridge University Press 1982.
15. Nguyen Van Minh, Asymptotic behavior of individual orbits of discrete systems. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137**, No. 9 (2009), 3025–3035.
16. Nguyen Van Minh, Katznelson-Tzafriri type theorems for individual solutions of evolution equations. *Proceedings of the A.M.S.* **136** (2008), 1749–1755.
17. Nguyen Van Minh, A new approach to the spectral theory of functions and the Loomis-Arendt-Batty-Vu Theory. Submitted. Preprint in *ArXiv.org* at the URL: *http://arxiv.org/abs/math.FA/0609652*
18. Nguyen Van Minh, F. Räbiger, R. Schnaubelt, On the exponential stability, exponential expansiveness and exponential dichotomy of evolution equations on the half line, *Int. Eq. and Oper. Theory* **32** (1998), 332–353.
19. S. Murakami, T. Naito, Nguyen Van Minh, Evolution semigroups and sums of commuting operators: A new approach to the admissibility theory of function spaces, *J. Differential Equations*, **164** (2000), 240–285.
20. S. Murakami, T. Naito, Nguyen Van Minh, Massera's theorem for almost periodic solutions of functional differential equations. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **56** (2004), 247–268.
21. T. Naito, Nguyen Van Minh, Evolutions semigroups and spectral criteria for almost periodic solutions of periodic evolution equations. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **152** (1999), 358–376.
22. T. Naito, Nguyen Van Minh, J. S. Shin, New spectral criteria for almost periodic solutions of evolution equations. *Studia Mathematica* **145** (2001), 97–111.
23. J. M. A. M. van Neerven, *The asymptotic Behaviour of Semigroups of Linear Operator*, Birkhäuser Verlag. Basel - Boston - Berlin, Operator Theory, Advances and Applications Vol. **88**, 1996.
24. A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
25. C. Preda, $(L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, X), L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, X))$-admissibility and exponential dichotomies of cocycles. *J. Differential Equations*, **249** (2010), 578–598.

26. P. Preda, A. Pogan, C. Preda, Schäffer spaces and exponential dichotomy for evolutionary processes. *J. Differential Equations*, **230** (2006), 378–391.
27. C. Preda, P. Preda, A. Craciunescu, Criterions for detecting the existence of the exponential dichotomies in the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of variational equations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, **258** (2010), 729–757.
28. J. Prüss, *Evolutionary Integral Equations and Applications.* Birkhäuser, Basel, 1993.
29. H. J. Reiter, Investigations in harmonic analysis. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73** (1952), 401–427.
30. W. Shen, Y. Yi, *Almost Automorphic and Almost Periodic Dynamics in Skew-Product Semiflows.* Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. **136**, 1998.
31. G. M. Sklyar, V. Ya. Shirman, Asymptotic stability of a linear differential equation in a Banach space. (Russian) *Teor. Funktsiĭ Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **37** (1982), 127–132.
32. Vu Quoc Phong, Yu. I. Lyubich, A spectral criterion for almost periodicity for one-parameter semigroups. (Russian) *Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* No. **47** (1987), 36–41; translation in *J. Soviet Math.* **48**, No. 6 (1990), 644–647.
33. Vu Quoc Phong, Stability and almost periodicity of trajectories of periodic processes. *J. Differential Equations*, **115** (1995), 402–415.
34. Vu Quoc Phong, Almost periodic and strongly stable semigroups of operators. In *Linear operators* (Warsaw, 1994), 401–426, Banach Center Publ., **38**, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1997.
35. Y. Yi, Almost automorphic oscillations. *Field Institute Communications*, **42** (2004), 75–98.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DRESDEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, 01062 DRESDEN, GERMANY

E-mail address: `Bui.Xuan_Dieu@mailbox.tu-dresden.de, Hoang_Duc.Luu@tu-dresden.de`

E-mail address: `stefan.siegmund@tu-dresden.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBUS STATE UNIVERSITY, 4225 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, COLUMBUS, GA 31907. USA

E-mail address: `nguyen_minh2@columbusstate.edu`